



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,434	09/16/2005	Masahiro Nakanishi	0033-0987PUS1	5775
2292 7590 11/28/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				
EXAMINER MURDOCH, CRYSTAL A				
ART UNIT 2628		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 11/28/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/525,434		<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAKANISHI, MASAHIRO	
	<b>Examiner</b> CRYSTAL MURDOCH		<b>Art Unit</b> 2628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CRYSTAL MURDOCH.

(3) JOHN SANDERS.

(2) ULKA CHAUHAN.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,18,29 and 30.

Identification of prior art discussed: SHIMADA (5,640,171) and JONES (6,789,406).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Sanders was seeking clarification regarding Examiner's claim interpretation and application of the references. Examiner attempted to clarify the interpretation of the references in view of the claim language, and Mr. Sanders attempted to clarify the intent of the claim language and how he believed it overcomes the references. Specific arguments or claim amendments will be considered upon filing of a formal response to the Non-Final Office Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Ulka Chauhan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628
--	--